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Acid Sphingomyelinase Promotes Lipoprotein Retention Within Early Atheromata and Accelerates Lesion Progression

Cecilia M. Devlin, Andrew R. Leventhal, George Kuriakose, Edward H. Schuchman, Kevin Jon Williams, Ira Tabas

Objective—The key initial step in atherogenesis is the subendothelial retention of apolipoprotein B–containing lipoproteins. Acid sphingomyelinase (acid SMase), an enzyme present extracellularly within the arterial wall, strongly enhances lipoprotein retention in model systems in vitro, and retained lipoproteins in human plaques are enriched in ceramide, a product of SMase. We now sought to test a direct causative role for acid SMase in lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis in vivo.

Methods and Results—We studied atherogenesis and lipoprotein retention in Asm−/− versus Asm+/+ mice on the Apoe−/− and Ldlr−/− backgrounds. Asm−/−:Apoe−/− mice had a ≈40% to 50% decrease in early foam cell aortic root lesion area compared with Asm+/+:Apoe−/− mice (P<0.05) despite no difference in plasma cholesterol or lipoproteins. To assay lipoprotein retention in vivo, the two groups of mice were injected with fluorescently labeled Apoe−/− lipoproteins. Early foam cell lesions of Asm−/−:Apoe−/− mice showed a striking 87% reduction in lipoprotein trapping (P<0.0001) compared with Asm+/+:Apoe−/− lesions. Similar results were obtained with Ldlr−/− mice, including an 81% reduction in lipoprotein retention within Asm−/−:Ldlr−/− lesions compared with Asm+/+:Ldlr−/− lesions (P<0.0005).

Conclusions—These findings support a causal role for acid SMase in lipoprotein retention and lesion progression and provides further support for the response-to-retention model of atherogenesis. (Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008;28:1723-1730)
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The key initial step in early atherogenesis is the retention, or trapping, of apoB-lipoproteins within the subendothelium of focal susceptible regions of the arterial tree.1–3 Retained and modified lipoproteins provoke a series of biological responses that can explain all subsequent features of early atherogenesis.1,2 Lipoprotein retention within prelesional segments initially involves direct binding of positively charged domains on apoB to negatively charged elements of arterial matrix, chiefly proteoglycans.4,5 In later stages, lipoprotein retention can be enhanced further by size-related trapping of large lipoproteins in the subendothelium and by uptake by subendothelial macrophages (below). Moreover, lesional cells secrete additional molecules, such as sphingomyelinase and lipoprotein lipase, that are proposed to shift the molecular basis for further lipoprotein retention while also substantially accelerating retention and hence lesion progression. Thus, understanding the molecular mechanisms of subendothelial lipoprotein retention in prelesional and then lesional arteries is a critical goal of atherogenesis research.

Previous work has suggested a number of factors that can influence subendothelial lipoprotein retention, including (1) the concentration of circulating atherogenic lipoproteins; (2) endothelial permeability; (3) the nature and amounts of proretentive molecules within the subendothelial space, notably proteoglycans and lipoprotein lipase (LpL), which bridges lipoproteins to matrix; and (4) structure and composition of the lipoproteins, which can be altered by enzymatic and nonenzymatic processes within the subendothelial space.1–3 A large number of studies in vitro implicate the secretory form of acid sphingomyelinase (S-SMase) in proretentive modifications of atherogenic lipoproteins. S-SMase arises from the acid SMase (Asm) gene, which also gives rise to lysosomal acid SMase.6 S-SMase is secreted by cell types
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known to be in atherosclerotic lesions, particularly endothelial cells, where secretion is induced by atherogenic inflammatory cytokines. S-SMase hydrolyzes sphingomyelin (SM) to ceramide on the surface of atherogenic lipoproteins, which can occur at neutral pH with modified lipoproteins or in the acidic environment of lesion with native lipoproteins. The resulting increase in lipoprotein ceramide content promotes lipoprotein aggregation, which can promote retention by increasing proteoglycan binding, impairing exit from lesions of large aggregates, or promoting uptake by arterial-wall macrophages, leading to foam cell formation. In addition, S-SMase-induced lipoprotein retention in vitro shows a remarkably robust synergy with LpL.

Correlative support for a role of S-SMase in atherogenesis per se has been provided by several human and animal atherosclerosis studies. For example, extracellular acid SMase is present in human and murine atherosclerotic lesions, and aggregated lipoproteins extracted from human atheroma are specifically enriched in ceramide, indicating hydrolysis by sphingomyelinase. Moreover, recent work has demonstrated an association between high SM content in circulating lipoproteins, which enhances S-SMase–mediated hydrolysis, and increased risk for aortic atherosclerosis in mice and coronary artery disease in humans. In addition, inhibition of sphingomyelin synthesis in mice lowers lipoprotein–dependent atherogenic role of acid SMase in early atherosclerosis and for the response-to-retention model of atherogenesis.

**Materials and Methods**

Please refer to supplemental materials for additional methods (available online at http://atvb.ahajournals.org).

**Mice**

Asm−/− mice were crossed onto either the Apoe−/− or Ldlr−/− background (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Me). All of these mice were of the C57BL/6Jo strain, and none of the alleles are linked. The resulting Asm−/− Apoe−/− mice were mated to obtain the Asm−/−; Apoe−/− and Asm−/−; Apoe−/− littermates used for this study. The pups were weaned at 21 days and fed on standard mouse chow until 10 weeks of age, at which point Apoe−/− mice have developed atherosclerotic lesions. Similarly, Asm−/−; Ldlr−/− mice were bred to obtain the Asm−/−; Ldlr−/− and Asm−/−; Ldlr−/− littermates used for this study. These pups were weaned at 21 days and, starting at 6 weeks of age, the mice were fed on the “Western” diet (21% anhydrous milk fat, 0.15% cholesterol; cat. #TD88137, Harlan Teklad) for 12 weeks. The maximum age of Asm−/− mice in this study, 18 weeks, does not allow the development of neurological or other complications.

**Quantification of Subendothelial Lipoprotein Retention**

Lipoproteins (d<1.063 g/ml) were fluorescently labeled with Alexa fluor 568 or D:1 and then injected via tail vein (~600 µg/mouse). Eighteen hours after injection, the mice were anesthetized, the cardiac cavity was exposed, and the heart was extensively perfusion-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The 18-hour time point assesses lipoprotein retention, because it allows lipoprotein entry into the arterial wall, but then sufficient time for any untrapped lipoproteins to diffuse back out. The proximal and the thoracic aortas were removed, and frozen sections were prepared as above. The sections were placed on a slide, immersed in polyvinyl alcohol mounting medium with 1.4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO; Sigma-Aldrich), sealed with a coverslip and nail polish, and stored at 4°C until analysis. Images were obtained with a Zeiss LSM-510 Meta scanning confocal microscope using a 40× objective. For fluorescence imaging, 543-nm excitation and an LP560 emission filters were used. Transmitted light images were collected using a DIC/brightfield detector. Images of each area were captured at the same laser intensity, gain, and offset to ensure consistency between sections from different mice. One-micron optical sections were obtained for each fluorescent lesional area. The fluorescence intensity and the lesional area were quantitated using ImageJ version 1.38× (NIH; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). For each optical section, the subendothelial space (with overlying endothelium) was defined as the region of interest (ROI). Brightfield images within the ROI were used to quantify lesion area, and fluorescence images within the ROI were used to quantify intensity from trapped fluorescently labeled lipoproteins. For the fluorescence quantification, we used both unweighted and weighted protocols. For the unweighted analysis, the number of pixels falling within the 40 to 255 gray value range for each optical section was determined and added together to give overall area of fluorescence. This value was then divided by the lesional area. The weighted analysis was performed by first determining the area of fluorescence within the ROI for each optical section for five fluorescence intensity value ranges: 40 to 84, 85 to 126, 127 to 142, 143 to 167, and 168 to 255. These five area measurements were then multiplied by 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, respectively, to give greater weight to areas of highest intensity. These weighted values were then summed for each optical section and divided by the lesional area.

**Results**

**Reduced Aortic Early Foam Cell Lesion Area in Acid SMase-Deficient Apoe−/− Mice**

As expected, macrophages from Asm−/− mice have close to undetectable acid SMase activity, whereas those from wild-type mice have readily detectable activity (supplemental Figure I). In preparation for atherosclerosis studies, we measured the plasma lipoproteins of 10-week-old chow-fed Asm−/−; Apoe−/− and Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice. As shown in supplemental Figure IIA and IIB, total plasma cholesterol and lipoprotein-cholesterol profiles were indistinguishable between the two groups of mice, although the fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) cannot distinguish size differences amongst the large particles that elute immediately after the void volume. The general appearance, behavior, and weights between the two groups of mice were similar (supplemental Figure IIC). These similarities allowed us to assess the hypothesized atherogenic role of acid SMase at the level of the arterial wall. As expected for chow-fed Apoe−/− mice of this age, the aortic root lesions of our Asm−/−; Apoe−/− showed small but distinct Oil Red O–positive foci in the immediate subendothelial area, consistent with very early foam cell lesions (Figure 1A, left image). In contrast, the aortic roots of the Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice showed substantially smaller Oil Red O–positive areas (Figure 1A, right image). Quantitative area data from a large number of mice are shown in Figure 1B. There was a statistically significant 40% to 50%...
decrease in foam cell lesion area in both female and male Asm−/−;Apoe−/− mice compared with their sex-matched Asm+/+;Apoe−/− littermates. Thus, acid SMase deficiency is associated with a decrease in very early foam cell area. The effect of acid SMase deficiency on more advanced lesions in another model is described below.

Marked Decrease in Lipoprotein Retention Within Aortic Root Lesions of Acid SMase-Deficient Apoe−/− Mice

Previous mechanistic data with S-SMase, a product of the Asm gene, suggested a specific and unique mechanism that could account for the decreased lesion area in Asm−/−;Apoe−/− mice, namely, a decrease in lipoprotein retention.1,2,15 To directly test this hypothesis, mice from each group were injected with Alexa Fluor 568-labeled lipoproteins, and then the lesions were examined 18 hours later for accumulation of fluorescence.24 In preliminary experiments with a small number of Asm+/+;Apoe−/− mice, we analyzed the lesions by confocal microscopy for both Alexa Fluor 568 fluorescence (lipoproteins; red-orange emission) and for macrophages using an anti-Mac3 primary antibody and an Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (green emission). As exemplified in Figure 2A, most of the red-orange fluorescence colocalized with green fluorescence, indicative of lipoprotein trapping either on extracellular matrix closely associated with macrophages, directly on the macrophage cell surface, or after phagocytosis of these lipoproteins by macrophages.

We next quantified total lipoprotein retention by analyzing Alexa Fluor 568 fluorescence in lesions from Asm+/+;Apoe−/− versus Asm−/−;Apoe−/− mice. The fact that atheromata develop properties that amplify subsequent lipoprotein retention23,26 presented a possible confounding factor. Thus, the smaller size of Asm−/−;Apoe−/− lesions (above) might by itself...

Figure 1. Acid SMase deficiency is associated with smaller foam cell lesions in chow-fed Apoe−/− mice. A, Oil Red O–stained aortic root sections from female Asm+/+;Apoe−/− and Asm−/−;Apoe−/− mice. B, Aortic root cross-sectional lesion quantification. *P<0.05.
reduce lipoprotein retention. To overcome this potential bias, we conducted our experiment under conditions in which the two groups of mice had similar lesion areas. We accomplished this goal by comparing Asm⁻/⁻; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice with slightly younger Asm⁺⁺/⁺⁺; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice. In particular, we found that the average lesion area of 12-week-old Asm⁻/⁻; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice was statistically identical to that of 8.5-week-old Asm⁺⁺/⁺⁺; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice. We therefore compared aortic root fluorescence 18 hours after injection of Alexa Fluor 568-labeled lipoproteins into these two groups of mice.

Similar to what was shown in Figure 2A, the aortic root lesions of 8.5-week-old Asm⁺⁺/⁺⁺; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice accumulated red/orange fluorescence in subendothelial areas that corresponded to nascent foam cell lesions (Figure 2B). In striking contrast, similar-sized lesions of 12-week-old Asm⁻/⁻; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice accumulated very little fluorescence. For each genotype, 15 separate lesional sites were analyzed, which represents 3 areas per aorta from 5 Asm⁺⁺/⁺⁺; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice and 2 to 3 areas per aorta from 6 Asm⁻/⁻; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice. We used two methods to quantify the fluorescence data (Figure 2C). In the first method (left panel), "unweighted" fluorescence encompassing the entire 40 to 255 gray value range of intensities was quantified as a single end point. In the second method ("weighted"; right panel), the fluorescence intensity of the pixels of each image was classified into 5 categories, and a greater score was given for the higher levels of fluorescence (see Materials and Methods for details). Both methods showed a ∼80% decrease in fluorescence in the aortic root of Asm⁻/⁻; Apoe⁻/⁻ mice compared with Asm⁺⁺/⁺⁺; Apoe⁻/⁻ controls (P<0.0001).

Although SDS-PAGE of the Alexa-labeled lipoproteins showed no alteration in apoprotein profile compared with...
unlabeled lipoproteins (supplemental Figure IIIA), these Alexa-labeled lipoproteins showed a slight increase in electronegativity as assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis (supplemental Figure IIIB). We therefore repeated the in vivo retention experiment using $d<1.063$ Apoe$^{-/-}$ lipoproteins labeled with DiI, which tags lipoprotein lipid instead of apolipoproteins, the component labeled by Alexa Fluor 568. As shown in the supplementary figure, this method of labeling did not alter the electrophoretic mobility of the lipoproteins. Using DiI-labeled lipoproteins, we found similar results to that obtained above with Alexa-labeled material: 76% decrease in the retained lipoproteins using the weighted method, $P=0.004$ (Figure 2C, last two graphs).

To evaluate the specificity of these striking differences in lipoprotein retention within aortic root lesions, we carried out a series of additional analyses and experiments. To assess focality, we examined the thoracic aorta, which is resistant to foam cell lesions in these young, chow-fed mice.22,23 We found that there was no detectable fluorescence in either group in this site (data not shown). Similarly, we found no difference in fluorescence accumulation in the spleens of the two groups of mice (ratio of fluorescence area:total imaged area was 0.37±0.11 for Asm$^{+/+}$; Apoe$^{-/-}$ spleen and 0.41±0.10 for Asm$^{-/-}$; Apoe$^{-/-}$ spleen; $P=0.40$). Thus, the site of differential lipoprotein retention correlated with the focal site of atherogenesis. We next considered the unlikely possibility that the fluorescent lipoproteins in the Asm$^{-/-}$; Apoe$^{-/-}$ mice were being rapidly and extensively removed from the plasma soon after injection, eg, into other organs or excretory routes, before having access to the aortic root. To evaluate this possibility, we measured plasma fluorescence at various intervals from the time of injection until the time of lesion analysis. We found no significant difference in the removal of fluorescence from plasma between the two groups of mice (data not shown). Thus, the data in Figure 2 indicate a true decrease in subendothelial lipoprotein retention in aortic root lesions of Asm$^{-/-}$; Apoe$^{-/-}$ mice.

**Marked Decrease Lesion Size in Lipoprotein Retention in Aortic Root Lesions of Acid SMase-Deficient Ldlr$^{-/-}$ Mice**

To determine whether acid SMase deficiency results in decreased lipoprotein retention in another model of early atherogenesis, we undertook a similar study in the LDL receptor-deficient model of murine atherosclerosis. For this study, Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ and Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice were fed on a Western-type diet for 12 weeks. There were no differences in general appearance or behavior between the two groups, and the weights of the mice were not statistically different (supplemental Figure IV). Total plasma cholesterol was approximately 25% lower in the Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 3A). As can be seen from the lipoprotein profile (inset in Figure 3A), the difference in the cholesterol values between the two groups was attributable mostly to differences in a peak that corresponds to large lipoproteins, ie, VLDL or chylomicrons. Note that the levels of LDL and HDL appeared similar in the two groups of mice. The SM content of $d<1.063$ plasma lipoproteins from the two groups of mice were not statistically different: 0.04±0.01 versus 0.06±0.02 µg SM/µg cholesterol in Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ and Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice, respectively ($P=0.17$). This finding is consistent with the concept that acid SMase hydrolyzes lipoproteins in the arterial wall, where subendothelial lipoprotein modifications and acidic pH likely promote lipoprotein-SM hydrolysis.12 Moreover, analysis of apolipoproteins by SDS-PAGE and of lipoprotein charge by native gel electrophoresis of $d<1.063$ plasma lipoproteins from the two groups of mice revealed no marked differences (supplemental Figure V).

Aortic root lesion area was approximately 50% lower in Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice of both sexes (Figure 3B, first two graphs). We considered the possibility that this difference could be attributable to the lower plasma cholesterol in the Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice. Close inspection of cholesterol and lesion values for individual mice, however, revealed a poor correlation between variations in plasma cholesterol and variation in lesion area within each genotype, ie, individual mice at the higher end of the plasma cholesterol distribution within each genotype did not necessarily have the largest lesions, nor vice versa. Moreover, a number of mice in the Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ group had plasma cholesterol values that were similar to those in the Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ group. We therefore conducted a subgroup analysis of lesion area in mice with statistically indistinguishable mean values of cholesterol (12.1±0.70 in Asm$^{+/+}$ versus 12.0±0.72 in Asm$^{-/-}$, n=8 per genotype). As shown in the right graph of Figure 3B, the lesion area of the Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice in this subgroup was 55% smaller than that of the Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice ($P=0.001$). This analysis suggests that the smaller lesion area in Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice cannot be explained at all by somewhat lower plasma cholesterol concentration in these mice. Moreover, because the lesions in this experiment were approximately 10-fold larger than those in the previous experiment with young, chow-fed Apoe$^{-/-}$ mice, the data indicate that acid SMase plays a role in lesion development beyond the very early foam cell stage.

To assess lipoprotein retention in the Ldlr$^{-/-}$ model, we again focused on very early lesions and on lesions of similar size between the two ASM genotypes to avoid the potential confounding issue of amplified lipoprotein retention within advanced lesions (above). Thus, Alexa Fluor 568-labeled $d<1.063$ lipoproteins from Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice were injected into Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice after 6 weeks on the Western diet and into Asm$^{-/-}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ mice after 6 weeks on the Western diet. This protocol produced lesions of similar size between the two genotypes, and mean lesion area in these mice was similar to that in the Apoe$^{-/-}$ study (above). As shown by representative confocal images in the left panels of Figure 4A, Ldlr$^{-/-}$ lesions showed ample retention of the Alexa Fluor568-labeled LDL. When these lesions were costained for perlecan, an arterial-wall proteoglycan implicated in lipoprotein retention mice,1-3 there was approximately 20% colocalization between the LDL (red) and perlecan (green) fluorescence (supplemental Figure VI). Most importantly, analysis of Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ lesions for labeled LDL fluorescence showed a striking reduction compared with that seen in Asm$^{+/+}$; Ldlr$^{-/-}$ lesions (right panels of Figure 4A). The unweighted and weight adjusted data revealed an approximately 80% reduction in the acid SMase-deficient lesions ($P<0.001$ for
The percent LDL-perlecan colocalization was similar in the two groups of mice (data not shown), and so the absolute amount of perlecan-associated labeled LDL was also ~80% reduced in the Asm<sup>−/−</sup>;Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> mice (see Discussion). Thus, acid SMase deficiency is associated with a striking reduction in lipoprotein retention within early lesions in both the Apoe<sup>−/−</sup> and diet-fed Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> models of atherosclerosis.

**Discussion**

Given the critical role of subendothelial lipoprotein retention in the initiation of atherogenesis,<sup>1,2</sup> identifying individual molecules that affect this process in vivo is an important goal in atherosclerosis research. Boreén and colleagues<sup>5</sup> showed decreased lipoprotein retention in early lesions of mice expressing an apolipoprotein B100 transgene in which a key proteoglycan-binding region was mutated by genetic engineering. This model of LDL retention appears to be enhanced in the setting of elevated levels of angiotensin II, which increases the arterial content of proretentive proteoglycans and promotes atherogenesis.<sup>27</sup> Importantly, Boreén and colleagues also showed that lipoprotein retention was decreased in advanced lesions in apolipoprotein B100 transgenic mice lacking lipoprotein lipase, which can nonenzymatically mediate the binding of lipoproteins to subendothelial matrix molecules.<sup>26</sup> These data suggest a model in which lipoprotein retention in prelesional, susceptible sites of the arterial wall is dominated by a specific apolipoprotein B-proteoglycan interaction, whereas LpL bridging becomes a more dominant process in lipoprotein retention once lesions start to become established. LpL is secreted by macrophages, which likely explains the role of LpL in retention in established lesions, ie, after macrophage foam cells accumulate in the subendothelial space. In this regard, early atherosclerotic lesions also develop activated endothelium, which is an important source of S-SMase.<sup>7</sup> Thus, in view of the role of acid SMase in lipoprotein retention in foam cell lesions shown here and our previous work showing synergy between LpL and S-SMase in lipoprotein-matrix interaction and lipoprotein uptake by macrophages,<sup>15</sup> the
combined appearance of LpL and S-SMase once lesions develop may provide a molecular explanation for the fact that lipoprotein retention is greatly accelerated in lesions versus susceptible prelesional sites.21

Previous work in vitro has suggested plausible hypotheses on the mechanisms by which lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis are decreased in Asm−/− lesions. In particular, secretory acid SMase induces lipoprotein aggregation, which can promote subendothelial retention by enhanced uptake by macrophages and by decreased arterial-wall exit of large lipoprotein aggregates.10,14,15 Moreover, sphingomyelinase treatment of apoB-lipoproteins increases their affinity for subendothelial matrix.14,15 Regarding this latter point, we estimate that there was ≈80% less LDL associated with perlecan in Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− lesions compared with Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− lesions based on combining the overall LDL retention data with the LDL-perlecan colocalization data. However, a substantial portion of the labeled LDL did not colocalize with perlecan, suggesting association with other matrix molecules or uptake by macrophages. The latter scenario is consistent with our data showing close association between labeled LDL and macrophages in the Apoe−/− lesions. Finally, it is formally possible that the absence of lysosomal acid SMase in our model could have contributed to the decrease in atherogenesis through an effect independent of lipoprotein retention. However, it might have actually dampened our results, because the absence of lysosomal acid SMase in cholesterol-loaded macrophages decreases cholesterol efflux from these cells.28

The results herein provide the first molecular genetic causation evidence in support of a growing body of literature...
implicating acid SMase and sphingomyelin in atherogenesis and coronary artery disease in animal models and humans.\textsuperscript{9,12,16–19} Translation of this information into therapy, however, would have to take into account the fact that acid SMase deficiency in humans, which affects both the secreted and lysosomal forms of the enzyme, is associated with low HDL and elevated LDL in the plasma.\textsuperscript{20} Assuming this phenomenon reflects the effect of acid SMase deficiency in one or more nonarterial wall sites, therapy would have to be based on focal inhibition of the enzyme in the arterial wall, but presumably only its secreted form. Another approach would be to follow the lead of a number of reports showing that treatment of mice with an inhibitor of sphingomyelin synthesis, which decreases the SM content of lipoproteins and thus their susceptibility to acid SMase-mediated hydrolysis, suppresses lesion development.\textsuperscript{19} Finally, to the extent that the study here adds support to the link between lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis, there may be promise for other therapeutic strategies directed against the interaction of apoB-containing lipoproteins with subendothelial matrix molecules.
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